

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/047,507 10/23/2001 001086 3933 Marlin Stephen Heilman EXAMINER 23464 7590 12/15/2004 BUCHANAN INGERSOLL, P.C. RAMANA, ANURADHA ONE OXFORD CENTRE, 301 GRANT STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 20TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH, PA 15219 3732

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				N/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Action Summary		10/047,507	HEILMAN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anu Ramana	3732	
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specifies if NO period for reply is specifies. Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. In above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply fifed above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, lice later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and the date of this communication, even if timely filed.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FI	· · · <u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this applic	cation is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims			•	
4a) Of the above 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-20.2</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is. 7)□ Claim(s)	3-36 and 38 is/are pending in the claim(s) is/are withdraw 23-36 and 38 is/are allowed. /are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob caminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119			
a) All b) Son 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of applicatio	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents the certified copies of the prior n from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite	d (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
	a (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/047,507

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sherman et al. (US 5,891,159).

Sherman et al. disclose an apparatus for attaching a conduit to a vessel including an enclosure (10, 12) with a port 76, the enclosure having an attachment portion 20 with a "sewing cuff" or band "26", the cuff 26 sitting on lips 32 extending inwardly from protrusions 20 in the enclosure, a tool (150, 158) contained within the enclosure and a conduit 14 contained within the enclosure (Figs. 4-6, 12, 18, col. 8, lines 25-67, col. 9, lines 1-67, col. 10, lines 1-18, col. 15, lines 38-67 and col. 16, lines 1-12).

The limitation, "separable from a remainder.......attached thereto," requires that the attachment portion be capable of being separated from the remainder of the enclosure and does not require that the attachment portion be separated from the enclosure.

The limitation, "conduit is attachable tovia said attachment portion," does not require a physical connection between the conduit and the attachment portion.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 6 and 7, the method step recitations, "said enclosure being sealed and having air evacuated therefrom prior to attachment to said wall", "said enclosure filled with fluid", "said enclosure having air evacuated therefrom", "said enclosure being filled with fluid" it is noted that the method of using a device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, these limitations have not been given patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman et al. (US 5,891,159), as applied to claim 1, further in view of Mollenauer et al. (US 6,077,277).

Sherman et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except for a tool member with a rotatable barrel member having a cutting blade surrounding a central rod member.

Mollenauer et al. teach a tool member having a rotatable outer tube or barrel member having a cutting blade 46 wherein the central rod can be manipulated to hold material and the outer tube can be rotated to cut material (Fig. 8, col. 1, lines 55-67 and col. 5, lines 5-49).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the tool of Sherman et al. with the tool of Mollenauer et al. for simultaneous grasping and cutting of tissue.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments submitted under "REMARKS," in the response submitted on September 29, 2004 are not persuasive with respect to claims 1-15.

Applicants' arguments are most in view of the new grounds of rejection made in this office action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-20, 23-36 and 38 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

Page 4

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR Anuada Ramara
December 9, 2004

EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER